

Sizewell C Community Fund Scoring Matrix

Your application will be assessed and scored against the priority criteria for the Sizewell C Community Fund. Your application will be scored between 0 and 4 for each priority criteria. Some criteria are weighted as they are high priority. The more closely you meet these criteria, the more chance your application will have of being successful.

Example: The location of the project has a weighting of 4. If your application scores 3 for this criteria (which indicates it is close to an area of Sizewell C activity) then this will be multiplied by 4 resulting in a total score of 12 for 'Location of Project'.

4	Exceptional or very good
3	Good
2	Satisfactory
1	Less than satisfactory
0	Poor or no response

Criteria	Weighting	Strong Projects	Weak Projects
Location of project	x 4	Close to a SZC Development site or area of associated activity	Further away from a SZC development site or area of associated activity
Community priorities	x 4	A clear and comprehensive description of needs in the community with clear evidence that these needs exist. Needs are clearly linked to SZC impact or the opportunities arising from SZC. Applicant demonstrates good local knowledge of the community. There is clear evidence that this project has been identified as a community priority with reference to a source.	A vague and unclear description of community needs with no or just anecdotal evidence to back this up. Needs are not linked to SZC impact nor opportunities arising from SZC. Applicant does not demonstrate good local knowledge of the community. No evidence has been provided demonstrating that the project has been identified as a community priority.

Purpose of the Sizewell C Community	x 4	It is clear how the project is linked to the purpose of the fund and how it will make a significant and positive contribution towards minimising the impacts of SZC or maximise the opportunities.	It is not clear how the project is linked to the purpose of the fund. The project will not make a significant and positive contribution towards minimising the impact of SZC or maximise the opportunities.
Supporting sustainable communities	x 2	It is clear how the project will positively contribute towards sustainable communities including community cohesion and building on existing community assets.	It is not clear how the project will positively contribute towards developing or maintaining sustainable communities.
Inclusivity	None	It is clear that the applicant has considered how to go above and beyond to ensure the project is inclusive and non-discriminatory.	The applicant has not shown consideration for making the project inclusive and non-discriminatory.
Project delivery organisation	None	The project will be provided by one of the preferred organisation types - registered charity, voluntary org, town/parish council, social enterprise or public body?	The project will not be provided by one of the preferred organisation types.
Community support and engagement	x 3	The applicant has demonstrated strong links in the community that the project will take place in through existing or previous delivery, the community have been engaged or consulted with in a meaningful way and have helped to shape/co-produce the planned activity. There is evidence of broad support for the project from residents.	The organisation has not previously delivered services in this community and there is little evidence of knowledge of, or links with, other VCFSE and other groups working locally. The applicant has not demonstrated that residents of the community have been engaged or consulted with in a meaningful way, and have not helped to shape/co-produce the planned activity. There is no evidence of support for the project.
Other / match funding	None	The applicant has secured or applied for funding from private or public sources.	The applicant has requested 100% of the project costs.
Value for money	x 2	The project has been realistically resourced and costed and the impact of the project is appropriate in relation to the amount of funding that has been requested in terms of cost and effectiveness.	The project is either significantly under/over resourced and/or costed which creates a risk to the project and its outcomes/impact.